			<i></i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/078,047	8,047 GAMERO S., CARLOS	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lisa M Caputo	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGOT OF THE OFFICE	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED i or other appropriate comm GHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due co	ourse. THIS
1. 🖾 This communication is responsive to amendment filed 9 Ap	<u>ril 2004</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3,10-28 and 31-41</u> .			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>08 February 2002</u> are accepted by the	e Examiner.		
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMITHIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying Indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.5 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the paper No./Mail Date DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT For the paper No./Mail Pate	been received. been received in Application auments have been received of this communication to file ENT of this application. Itted. Note the attached EX is reason(s) why the oath of the submitted. It be submitted. It is Patent Drawing Review. Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 Cleasit of BIOLOGICAL MATFOR THE DEPOSIT OF Birting auments.	on No In this national stage application In this national stage application In a reply complying with the requirement AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NO redeclaration is deficient. In the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the beta 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. No	irements TICE OF
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview S Paper No. 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's	oformal Patent Application (PTO- cummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allows	ŕ

Application/Control Number: 10/078,047

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 9 April 2004.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1-3, 10-28, and 31-41 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The best prior art of Chmielewski fails to teach the specific components and the arrangement of these components as recited in independent claims 1, 27, and 41. For example, the best prior art of record fails to teach the arrangement of primary and secondary alignment indicators on the stage for multiple objects to be viewed, along with a first image collector having a first lens and a second image collector with a second lens that are in engaging relation with a support member that is engaged to the base portion that includes the stage. In addition, the best prior art of record also fails to teach the additional use of a third image collector that comprises a third lens. Hence, independent claims 1, 27, and 41, and the claims dependent on them are allowable.

Hence the best prior art of record fails to teach the invention as set forth in claims 1-3, 10-28, and 31-41 and the examiner can find no teaching of the specific photocollection identification assembly, nor reasons within the cited prior art or on her own to combine the elements of these references other than the applicant's own reasoning to fully encompass the current pending claims. In addition, see applicant's reasoning in amendment/response filed 9 April 2004.

Art Unit: 2876

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Lisa M. Caputo* whose telephone number is (571) 272-2388. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 8:30AM to 5:00PM Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [lisa.caputo@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

LMC

June 22, 2004

DIANE I. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER

haine che L.